Stage 1
The deadline for the submission of a Stage 1 application (Expression of Interest) is 18 October 2023 at 4pm. Applicants will be informed of the outcome of Stage 1 on 9 November 2023.

Stage 2
The deadline for the submission of a Stage 2 application (full application) is 12 December 2023 at 4pm. Applicants will be informed of the outcome of Stage 2 on 13 February 2024. As such, successful applicants will be in a position to start recruiting students during the first quarter of 2024.

All applications must be submitted via the SGSSS online application system, SGSSS Apply.
1. Overview

1.1 Background

The SGSSS is the UK’s largest facilitator of funding, training and support for doctoral students in social science. By combining the expertise of sixteen universities across Scotland, the school facilitates world-class PhD research. The school is jointly funded by the ESRC and the Scottish Funding Council.

In line with the ESRC’s core commitment to enhancing social science capability and building capacity in priority areas, the SGSSS is running a two stage Supervisor-led Competition awarding studentships in the following priority areas (steers):

- Advanced Quantitative Methods (AQM)
- Datasets
- Interdisciplinary (research which straddles other research council remits)

The number of awards per steer to be allocated during the 2023/24 competition will be announced soon.

The key competition stages are outlined below:

1. Supervisors submit a Stage 1 application (Expression of Interest).
2. The SGSSS Directorate review all Stage 1 applications.
3. Shortlisted supervisors are invited to submit a Stage 2 application (full application).
4. An expert review panel review Stage 2 applications.
5. Successful Stage 2 applicants are informed of the outcome of their applications and are invited to start student recruitment.
6. Supervisor informs SGSSS of their preferred candidate, further to eligibility checks within their own University.
7. SGSSS approve the preferred candidate and specify the necessary training requirements for the student, subject to their eligibility checks, thus determining the final award length offered.

Please note, supervisors can only submit one application per supervisor-led competition – that is, a supervisor may apply once to the Open Collaborative, the Skills Development Scotland Collaborative and the Steers Competition. Please note, the single application requirement applies to any position within a supervisory team – that is, an applicant cannot apply to a competition as first supervisor on one application and second (or subsequent supervisor) on another application to the same competition. Any application submitted to a supervisor-led competition must not be repurposed as a student-led application, with any applications to the Student-led Open Competition, which are assessed to be resubmissions of a supervisor-led application being withdrawn from the competition.
1.2 Funding Arrangements

**SGSSS Funding**

SGSSS-DTP funding consists of the standard ESRC studentship package: fees, maintenance, Research Training Support Grant (RTSG), cohort development and overseas travel allowance.

The SGSSS funding model states that all steer studentships awarded will be co-funded by the host institution to the value of one third as follows:

SGSSS: 67%; HEI: 33%

The exception to the one-third HEI contribution is where the award is for a collaborative studentship with a financial contribution from a non-academic partner. For these awards the contribution from the host institution is reduced as follows:

- 10% contribution from the non-academic partner: 25% contribution from host HEI and 65% from SGSSS
- 25% contribution from the non-academic partner: 25% contribution from host HEI and 50% from SGSSS
- 33% contribution from the non-academic partner: 17% contribution from host HEI and 50% from SGSSS
- 50% contribution from the non-academic partner: 0% contribution from host HEI and 50% from SGSSS

Please see [here](#) for full guidance on the different types of SGSSS funding arrangements available for each studentship competition/studentship type.

**Cross-Institutional Supervision**

We support cross-institutional supervision where the arrangements are in the best interests of students. In these cases, the lead institution will be regarded as the host institution. The expectation is that the host institution will be responsible for covering the institutional contribution of the relevant funding split. The second institution will not be responsible for any proportion of the contribution. Further, the fees due will be transferred to the host institution with no expectation of a proportion of the fees going to the second institution.

Exceptions will be made where the cross-institutional supervision partnership is with one of our four institutions¹ that currently do not hold studentships. For these studentships, 33% of fee income will go to the second institution as part of the SGSSS reconciliation process (with the remaining 67% going to the host institution).

**Institutional Funding Confirmation**

All shortlisted Stage 2 applicants should seek funding confirmation (email or letter) from the home institution’s [SGSSS Dean of Graduate Studies](#), confirming that the institution will meet the required financial contribution. This confirmation will need to be uploaded as part of the Stage 2 application submission via [SGSSS Apply](#). Please note, this is not required when submitting a Stage 1 application.

---

¹ Abertay University; Robert Gordon University; University of the Highlands and Islands; University of the West of Scotland.
2. Application Process

2.1 Application Guidance

Applications should be submitted by prospective first/lead supervisors who must have been returned to a UoA within the SGSSS-DTP UoAs. Supervisors must have undergone supervisor training within their current institution during the last 5 years. SGSSS supports applications from academics at any stage in their careers, i.e., from early career researchers to more experienced supervisors. What we do ask however, is if a less experienced academic is applying as the first/lead supervisor, that they have one or more members on the supervisory team that are experienced in supervising PhD students to completion, i.e., a supervisor with at least two PhD completions.

Of the 16 institutions in Scotland that are partners of SGSSS, 14 are eligible to receive ESRC funding across 21 Units of Assessment (UoA). Each UoA is analogous to a subject area / discipline. Not all 14 institutions are eligible to hold studentships in all UoAs. A comprehensive list of the eligible institutions and UoAs can be found on the SGSSS website [here](#).

Each university will hold and update a register of eligibility. To be eligible to act as first supervisor on an SGSSS studentship, the first supervisor must have been returned to an eligible REF 2021 UoA AND be a social scientist. You will still be eligible if you were returned to an eligible UoA whilst at a different institution. For example, if you were returned to UoA 23 (Education) at Edinburgh and are now working at Glasgow then you should check to ensure that UoA 23 at Glasgow is eligible to hold SGSSS studentships. If you were returned to a UoA outside panel C (social science) then you need to provide justification that you are a social scientist. If you are an ECR who has not previously been returned to REF then you will need to provide justification that you are research active as a social scientist.

Liaison with the relevant SGSSS PGR Lead at your institution is strongly encouraged. Details of previously funded projects can be found in Appendix 1.

As of 2024 intake, all ESRC funded students will be expected to submit their PhD within the funded period – that is, the ESRC will no longer recognise the thesis-pending or ‘writing-up’ year. To support student wellbeing and to ensure that our universities are not penalised for non-completion (the ESRC reserves the right to withhold awards from institutions which do not comply), it is vitally important that plans are feasible within the funded component of the PhD. This will be scrutinised by assessors.

2.1.1 Advanced Quantitative Methods (AQM)

This competition aims to encourage the development of advanced quantitative methods skills in relation to the norms of the discipline. Applicants are advised to read the ESRC Guidance on Steers and Targets which provides the requirements of an AQM award.

Stage 1

Applicants are invited to submit a co-produced Stage 1 application (Expression of Interest). The brevity of a Stage 1 application is to encourage rapid and creative responses from interested colleagues. It should articulate the primary conceptual idea and methodology of the proposal and demonstrate how it meets the AQM criteria. The Stage 1 application form can be found [here](#) and should be submitted via SGSSS Apply by 4pm on 18 October 2023.

Please Note: When assessing applications, equal weight will be given to the research proposal and the supervisory team/research environment; both must demonstrably fit the steer – see the Marking
Framework for details. The highest scoring applicants will be asked to submit a full proposal as outlined in Stage 2.

Stage 2
Shortlisted applicants will be asked to submit a Stage 2 application (full application) via SGSSS Apply. The same weighting will be applied to the assessment of applications as at Stage 1. The top proposals will then be funded and supervisors will be required to advertise studentships widely. The Stage 2 application form can be found here and should be submitted via SGSSS Apply by 4pm on 12 December 2023.

For further guidance on completing an AQM application, please see the video recorded by Dr Alan Marshall, and the associated slides, located on our website here.

2.1.2 Datasets
This competition aims to encourage the development of data skills as applied to secondary data analysis. Applicants are advised to read the ESRC Guidance on Steers and Targets which provides the requirements of a datasets award. In addition to the ESRC Guidance on Steers and Targets criteria, where applications involve the use of datasets created through applicant’s own research, you should evidence that:

- The primary project funding period has ended;
- At least one publication deriving from the funded project has appeared in a peer-reviewed journal; and,
- The project through which the dataset has been generated has been externally funded and awarded through a peer-review process.

Stage 1
Applicants are invited to submit a co-produced Stage 1 application (Expression of Interest). The brevity of a Stage 1 application is to encourage rapid and creative responses from interested colleagues. It should articulate the primary conceptual idea and methodology of the proposal and demonstrate how it meets the datasets criteria. The Stage 1 application form can be found here and should be submitted via SGSSS Apply by 4pm on 18 October 2023.

Please Note: When assessing applications, equal weight will be given to the research proposal and the supervisory team/research environment; both must demonstrably fit the steer – see the Marking Framework for details. The highest scoring applicants will be asked to submit a full proposal as outlined in Stage 2.

Stage 2
Selected applicants will be asked to submit a full Stage 2 application via SGSSS Apply. The same weighting will be applied to the assessment of applications as at Stage 1. The top proposals will then be funded and supervisors will be required to advertise studentships widely. The Stage 2 application form can be found here and should be submitted via SGSSS Apply by 4pm on 12 December 2023.

If you are applying for a datasets award, please confirm the following within your Stage 2 application: you are confident that the necessary data outlined in the proposal will be available to the student in a timely fashion AND where there are costs associated with accessing the data (including required specialist subsets), how these costs will be met.

For further guidance on completing a Datasets application, please see the video recorded by Dr Alan Marshall, and the associated slides, located on our website here.
2.1.3 Interdisciplinary

This competition aims to encourage conceptual and methodological creativity. Co-funding from another Doctoral Training Partnership is not required however applicants are advised to read the ESRC Guidance on Steers and Targets which details the requirements of an interdisciplinary award.

Stage 1

Applicants are invited to submit a co-produced Stage 1 application (Expression of Interest). The supervisory team should consist of a first supervisor within the social sciences and a second supervisor outside the social sciences who demonstrably works within the remit of another research council. The brevity of a Stage 1 application is to encourage rapid and creative responses from interested colleagues. It should articulate the primary conceptual idea and methodology of the proposal and demonstrate how it meets the interdisciplinary brief. The Stage 1 application form can be found here and should be submitted via SGSSS Apply by 4pm on 18 October 2023.

Please Note: When assessing applications, equal weight will be given to the research proposal and the supervisory team/research environment; both must demonstrably fit the steer - see the Marking Framework for details. The highest scoring applicants will be asked to submit a full proposal as outlined in Stage 2.

Stage 2

Shortlisted applicants will be invited to submit a Stage 2 application (full application) via SGSSS Apply. The same weighting will be applied to the assessment of applications as at Stage 1. The top proposals will then be funded and supervisors will be required to advertise studentships widely. The Stage 2 application form can be found here and should be submitted via SGSSS Apply by 4pm on 12 December 2023.

For further guidance on completing an Interdisciplinary application, please see the video recorded by Professor Lydia Plowman, and the associated slides, located on our website here.

2.2 Ethics Guidance

The Steers Competition Stage 2 application form states that the case for support MUST include:

Ethical issues associated with this proposal (including those that may impact on formal ethics committee approval and those requiring ongoing consideration in the field/during analysis) and proposed actions to mitigate these.

We recognise that the 2,250 word limit constrains the level of detail available to applicants but we expect to see consideration of ethical issues commensurate with the type of study being proposed. Where possible, applicants should indicate both the principles and practicalities of relevant ethical considerations and demonstrate how they are integral to all stages of the research. All research projects need to be considered in terms of ethics and integrity, even if they do not involve human participants.

Pointing to relevant experience of the supervisors and other sources of support will provide further reassurance that consideration has been given to the training needs of the research student, their personal safety and wellbeing, where relevant, and how emergent issues will be managed. Note that studies involving children or vulnerable populations, social media or involving overseas fieldwork may need particularly careful consider, of which is stion.

For guidance on how intellectual property rights should be handled, please see section 4 of the SGSSS Collaborative Agreement template here, noting the relevant sections.

In addition to guidance from your professional discipline-based association (e.g. BERA, BPS, BSA) and your home institution, many useful resources are provided by UKRI here. As their guidance notes, ethical considerations are “less about compliance and ‘getting through’ the ethics process, and more about mature, constructive and collaborative ethical deliberation, mutual learning and shared action aimed at
maximising benefit and minimising harm.” Some proposals may also benefit from EPSRC resources on responsible innovation available here.

Below, we include some examples taken from research proposals where we considered the approach to ethics to be inadequate. In all cases, more information was required to assure the reviewers that supervisors had a good understanding of the ethical implications of the study and of the student’s likely training needs. The amount of detail required will depend to some extent on the type of project proposed, but reviewers will want to be confident that supervisors will promote good practice in the areas of ethics and integrity.

• “All data are fully anonymised and will be kept securely.”
• “Data collection will conform with strict protocols.”
• “The work does not involve human participants or ethical data and therefore does not require ethical review.”
• “There are no substantial ethical issues associated with this project.”
• “The supervisory team will ensure that the data are ethically obtained.”
• “We will apply for NHS ethical approval.”
• “Ethical approval will be sought from the faculty of X’s ethics committee. We will follow the guidelines established by the British Association of X.”
• “The student will be trained to deal with ethical considerations through the department and other training.”

Please note that there is no specific requirement to address ethics during Stage 1, however, in most cases we would expect to see an indication of an awareness of the need for ethical considerations in the section on key strengths of the proposed supervisory team and/or the case for support.

2.3 Example Projects

Details of the following recently funded projects can be found in Appendix 1.

Advanced Quantitative Methods

• The Role of the News Media in Perpetuating Electoral Fraud Myths in the UK and US
• Intra-Party Division and Multi-level Politics
• Residential Context and Childbearing: Application of a Spatial Multilevel Multiprocess Hazard Model to Study Contextual Determinants of Fertility
• Gene-environment correlation and interaction in the development of reading ability and relation to life outcomes

Datasets

• The right to play – a comparison of rural and urban outdoor play opportunities, environments and experiences
• Investigating the impact of public interventions to reduce drinking water lead contamination on infant health in Scotland
• “A Life Lived for Others”: Volunteering Participation and Transitions in Older Age
• Young people’s lived experiences of welfare conditionality over time
Interdisciplinary

- Artificial Intelligence Approaches to Motor Assessment of Autism Spectrum Disorder: The motor contribution to early autism development
- Developing a Framework for Emotion Expression via Motion in Humanlike Artificial Agents
- Comics vs. COVID: Informing and evaluating the design of public health information comics
- A Realist evaluation of Paths for All’s Workplace Step Count Challenge
2.4 Competition Timeline

Please find below the Supervisor-led Steers Studentship Competition 2023/24 timeline. Individual institutions may wish to deploy earlier internal deadlines for Stage 1 and Stage 2 applications.

Please note, before a student is appointed, the home institution will need to complete eligibility checks to establish if the nominated student is eligible for the award, and in what capacity, i.e., home or international student.

- **18 October 2023 at 4pm (Wednesday)**
  Stage 1 application deadline

- **13 November 2023 (Monday)**
  Shortlisted candidates invited to complete Stage 2 application

- **12 December 2023 at 4pm (Tuesday)**
  Deadline for Stage 2 applications

- **13 February 2024 (Tuesday)**
  Award outcomes communicated to applicants

- **20 February 2024 (Tuesday)**
  Student recruitment period begins

- **30 May 2024 (Thursday)**
  Deadline to notify SGSSS of preferred ‘nominated’ candidate
3. **Studentships**

3.1 **Student Eligibility**

In October 2020, the eligibility criteria for ESRC funding changed for studentships commencing from 2021 onwards.

As per guidance published by UKRI, a minimum of 70% of all studentships awarded by SGSSS will be made to home students, while a maximum of 30% of all studentships awarded can be made to international students. Please note, it is not a requirement for 30% of studentships to be awarded to international students, as the quality of applications should always remain the primary assessment criterion during the competition.

**Residential Criteria**

To be classed as a home student, applicants must meet the following criteria:

- Be a UK national (meeting residency requirements), or
- Have settled status, or
- Have pre-settled status (meeting residency requirements), or
- Have indefinite leave to remain or enter.

If a student does not meet the above criteria they are to be assessed as an international student.

3.2 **Student Recruitment**

The ESRC is committed to equality and diversity of opportunity. For widening access purposes, all collaborative studentship opportunities should be offered as a +3 or 1+3 award and for full-time or part-time study. The 1+3 award should be designed to support students that do not have a Master’s degree prior to appointment, i.e. Master’s year plus 3 years for the PhD.

Supervisors should clearly identify how they plan to advertise and recruit a student as part of their initial application. If successfully awarded a studentship, supervisors will need to consider the following guidance during the recruitment process.

**Regulations on appointing students**

- All studentships should be fairly advertised and abide by the recruitment processes within the first supervisor’s institution. The student recruitment process will start on 20 February 2024 (studentship adverts will go live on 6 March 2024).
- The SGSSS will advertise all opportunities via FindAPhD.com, however in prior years some awards have proved difficult to fill. As such, please ensure you commit to advertising as widely as possible to ensure the best choice of well-qualified student candidates. Please consider in advance whether your institution or collaborative partner would be willing to pay for further advertisements.
- The first supervisor’s institution **must** ensure the nominated student’s eligibility, i.e., home or international status is correct. This is vital to allow SGSSS to adhere to the ESRC’s 30% cap on international students. We strongly recommend that eligibility checks take place after candidates have been shortlisted and before they are invited for interview.²
- The SGSSS **must** approve all student appointments before they are confirmed. The ESRC continually monitors SGSSS processes, and it is critical that students entering directly onto doctoral programmes meet the required ESRC core training criteria.

² The ESRC residency criteria is available within the [ESRC Postgraduate Funding Guide](#).
• As there is a 30% cap on recruiting international students, these studentships will be awarded on a first come, first served basis.

Please note, full student recruitment guidance will be disseminated to successful applicants. This guidance will detail the student recruitment timeline, how to review applications as well as how to nominate students, amongst other information.

3.3 ESRC Approved Master’s Provision

When you come to recruit a student to fill the studentship award, if successful, they may be required to undertake a 1+3.5 award. If this is the case and the home institution does not have an ESRC approved Master’s programme aligned to the relevant SGSSS Unit of Assessment, the student will be required to undertake their Masters at another SGSSS-DTP institution where an approved ESRC Master’s programme is available (before ‘transferring’ to their ‘home’ institution for the remainder of the PhD programme). If this could apply to your student, i.e. your institution does not have an ESRC approved Master’s programme aligned to the pathway you are applying under, you must upload a completed Masters Arrangement Form as part of your application. This must be completed in conjunction with the relevant SGSSS Dean of Graduate Studies representative at the institution where the Masters will be undertaken.

Please Note: SGSSS will undertake a training requirement assessment for all nominated students, determining the length of the award applicable (1+3.5, +3.5 etc.). For more details on possible award lengths, please see the guidance here.

---

3 As the proportion of international students appointed each year is a maximum of 30% of the total studentships, some qualified and/or highly ranked international students may not be able to receive an award due to the 30% cap.
4. Steers Marking Framework

Each application is to be assessed according to two categories with a total score out of 20. These categories are:

1. Research Proposal – Score out of 10 (50%) (Please note that attention to feasibility of research proposal to be completed within the funded PhD is exceptionally important since the ESRC have announced that the thesis-pending or ‘writing-up’ year will no longer be acceptable – ie, submission within a fourth unfunded year will be counted as a late submission).

2. Supervision & Training – Score out of 10 (50%)

### SGSSS Steers Competition Marking Framework 2023/24

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Research Proposal (OUT OF 10)</th>
<th>Supervision &amp; Training (OUT OF 10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 10    | An excellent proposal (MEETING THE STEER CRITERIA) and scoring well in terms of both cogency and originality. All components – overview, context, methodology, and impact – will be well thought out and clearly expressed.  
PLUS  
Proposal is exceptionally good in all of its components  
AND  
Fulfils criteria 9 to 7 below | PLEASE NOTE: YOU SHOULD CONSIDER FIT WITH STEER CRITERIA IN ASSESSING THE CONSTITUTION OF THE SUPERVISORY TEAM AND PLANS FOR ADVANCED TRAINING DURING THE COURSE OF THE PHD  
Supervision arrangements represent a near-perfect fit with the proposed research in relation to methods, substantive topic area and academic/policy networks. The supervisory team includes at least one experienced supervisor with recognised expertise in the field (SGSSS is very supportive of the inclusion of a less experienced supervisor for capacity building reasons). There is excellent fit between the research and the wider department/school/college. The supervisory team demonstrates excellence in their commitment to helping the student address their development needs over the course of the PhD and in their existing plans to meet these within and outside the home HEI. They have also engaged very well with the identification of their own development needs.  
SEE ABOVE (Descriptor represents a score of 9 to 10) |
| 9     | Proposal is highly original and innovative, at the cutting edge of developments substantively and methodologically  
AND  
Fulfils criteria 8 to 7 below |  
(Descriptor represents a score of 9 to 10) |

Descriptors can be used with discretion where there is a good case to do so.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Proposal contains clear awareness of the potential impact of the research AND</td>
<td>Supervision arrangements represent a very good fit with the proposed research in relation to methods, substantive topic area and academic/policy networks. The supervisory team includes at least one experienced supervisor with a strong reputation for research in this field. There is very good fit between the research and the wider department/school/college. The supervisory team demonstrates very good commitment to helping the student address their development needs over the course of the PhD and in their existing plans to meet these within and outside the home HEI. They have also engaged well with the identification of their own development needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>A well-defined proposal with researchable questions, appropriately identified sources, an awareness of the theoretical and empirical background to the research and an appropriate methodology cognisant of ethical issues. The proposal should display an awareness of the research of the economic and societal relevance feasible within 3 years of a funded PhD including appropriate risk assessment.</td>
<td>Supervision arrangements represent a good fit with the proposed research in relation to methods, substantive topic area and academic/policy networks. The supervisory team includes at least one experienced supervisor with a good reputation for research in this field. There is good fit between the research and the wider department/school/college. The supervisory team demonstrates good commitment to helping the student address their development needs over the course of the PhD and have articulated their existing plans to meet these within and outside the home HEI. They have also engaged with the identification of their own development needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>A good and promising proposal but with identifiable weaknesses. Some, but not all, components of the proposal will be problematic, ill-expressed, or show a lack of knowledge. PLUS A good proposal with only minor but still identifiable weaknesses. The research question will be clear, the methodology appropriate and clearly presented, and most of the appropriate literature identified.</td>
<td>Supervision arrangements are appropriate though the fit is not as strong as it could be but at least one supervisor has some experience in the area of the proposed research in relation to methods, substantive topic area and academic/policy networks. The supervisory team includes at least one experienced supervisor with a strong reputation for research in this field. There is good fit between the research and the wider department/school/college. The supervisory team demonstrates good commitment to helping the student address their development needs over the course of the PhD and have articulated their existing plans to meet these within and outside the home HEI. They have also engaged with the identification of their own development needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>A promising proposal that suffers from several weaknesses. The methodology is appropriate but ill-expressed. The proposal is only weakly grounded in relevant literature.</td>
<td>Supervision arrangements are appropriate though the fit is not as strong as it could be but at least one supervisor has some experience in the area of the proposed research in relation to methods, substantive topic area and academic/policy networks. The supervisory team includes at least one experienced supervisor with a strong reputation for research in this field. There is good fit between the research and the wider department/school/college. The supervisory team demonstrates good commitment to helping the student address their development needs over the course of the PhD and have articulated their existing plans to meet these within and outside the home HEI. They have also engaged with the identification of their own development needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>A proposal with one serious weakness or several minor ones, which suggests gaps in knowledge and a weak grasp of the proposed methodology and its suitability.</td>
<td>Supervision arrangements are appropriate though the fit is not as strong as it could be but at least one supervisor has some experience in the area of the proposed research in relation to methods, substantive topic area and academic/policy networks. The supervisory team includes at least one experienced supervisor with a strong reputation for research in this field. There is good fit between the research and the wider department/school/college. The supervisory team demonstrates good commitment to helping the student address their development needs over the course of the PhD and have articulated their existing plans to meet these within and outside the home HEI. They have also engaged with the identification of their own development needs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A proposal with significant weaknesses in multiple components, little appreciation of possible methodologies, and/or awareness of relevant literature.

A problematic proposal that would need considerable additional work before being fundable. All components of the proposal will require further work and/or demonstrate little or no background or interest in their subject.

There is some fit between the research and the wider department/school/college although the relationship might be rather weak. The supervisory team demonstrates some but not strong commitment to helping the student address their development needs over the course of the PhD and have some plans to meet these within and outside the home HEI. Their identification of their own development needs is weak.

There is a poor fit between the proposal and supervisor experience and/or the wider department/school/college AND/OR consideration of likely development needs (supervisor and student) and how they will be addressed is cursory/generic.

Click here to download as a standalone document.

5. Appendix 1: Example Applications

### Advanced Quantitative Methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Professor Chris Carman</th>
<th>Politics and International Relations</th>
<th>University of Glasgow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**The Role of the News Media in Perpetuating Electoral Fraud Myths in the UK and US**

This project seeks to examine the British and American news media’s role in perpetuating myths about voter and electoral fraud in UK and US elections. The central research questions include whether news coverage is based more on fact or elite rhetoric, the role of tabloids and the partisan press in the UK relative to the US, what policies are linked to fraud (e.g., immigration), and the particular frames used by the media. The project will involve extensive data collection of coverage of recent UK elections, the 2020 US presidential election, and the 2021 Scottish Parliament election. The analysis will be carried out mainly using Quantitative Text Analysis, in particular unsupervised topic modelling and structured topic modelling. The analysis will also involve advanced count data regression modelling to assess variations in news attention to fraud. The project will be linked to a large-scale, multi-strand research project involving information environments in the UK and US surrounding voter and electoral fraud. The outputs will contribute to the planned knowledge exchange, impact, and dissemination programmes involving government officials, journalists, policy stakeholders, and public interest pieces.

### Dr Zachary Greene

**Intra-Party Division and Multi-level Politics**

Devolved powers for multiple levels of government cause tensions between groups with similar ideologies, but competing regional or national identities. Distinct regional priorities likely encourage disagreements between members of local and
national party representatives, particularly in the face of strong independence movements. Independence referendums in Catalonia, Scotland or Quebec highlight how regional movements hold substantial consequences for politics at both regional and national levels. Despite the importance of devolved powers and the ways parties facilitate the growth of new issues and positions, scholars have yet to thoroughly explore the relationship between regional and national preferences within the same organizations. Do debates within regional parties mirror national debates? How do regional issues emerge? Do internal party rules and institutions resolve or exacerbate tensions between regional and national goals?

Working with the Party Congress Research Group, this project will answer these questions with novel data from regional party conferences in Canada, Germany, Scotland and Spain to evaluate internal divisions and their consequences for regional competition. Party conferences offer distinct insight into internal debates as conferences often select leaders and vote for motions eventually included in election programmes. The content of these debates, therefore, offers rich information on regional divisions, which until recently was difficult to rigorously examine cross-nationally due to the resource and time constraints associated with content analysis. To analyse the large amount of textual data from these meetings, the student will train in advanced tools recently introduced to political research for computer assisted content analysis using machine learning. These tools will enable the researcher to study internal party dynamics such as changes in internal preferences, emphasis on independence or other issues, and even internal factional groups. The results from such a study offer compelling answers to questions on how distinct regional issues and preferences influence policy development in representative democracies.

Professor Hill Kulu  Health, Families, Relationships and Demographic Change  University of St Andrews

Residential Context and Childbearing: Application of a Spatial Multilevel Multiprocess Hazard Model to Study Contextual Determinants of Fertility

Fertility levels are below replacement level in most industrialised countries and have further declined in recent years. However, they vary significantly within countries by residential context. Fertility is high in rural areas and small towns and low in large cities. The reasons for spatial variation in fertility remain unclear. Some studies argue that fertility levels vary between places because different people live in different settlements. Others emphasise the importance of factors related to immediate living environment. The role of selective migrations has also been discussed.

This PhD project will investigate childbearing patterns by residential context in Britain, a country with a significant spatial fertility variation. The objectives are: First, to determine the extent to which spatial variation in childbearing patterns is attributed to compositional characteristics and selective migrations, and what role contextual factors play. Second, to investigate how contextual factors influence individuals’ childbearing behaviour. Third, to develop a spatial multilevel multiprocess hazard model to properly measure the effect of living environment on individuals’ childbearing behaviour. An understanding of how residential context influences fertility is essential to improve our understanding of the causes of low fertility in industrialised countries.

The project will develop and apply a spatial multilevel multiprocess hazard model to data from the ONS Longitudinal Study, the Scottish Longitudinal Study and the British Household Panel Survey to determine how contextual factors shape individuals’ childbearing behaviour. The performance of the proposed approach will be compared to that of conventional methods using maximum likelihood and Bayesian methods. The project will provide rich and reliable information on spatial fertility variation in Britain and will improve our understanding of the causes of high fertility in some areas and low fertility in others. The developed method could be applied to study contextual determinants of other domains of individuals’ behaviour (e.g., health, employment, residential relocations).

Dr Michelle Luciano  Psychology  University of Edinburgh

Gene-environment correlation and interaction in the development of reading ability and relation to life outcomes.

Reading and language skills are essential cognitive skills which if not developed properly can have negative impacts for children that last through to adulthood. Twin and family studies show that genes and environment influence reading development, with several studies indicating a (total) genetic influence that varies according to environmental circumstance. This project will extend this research in a novel way by incorporating molecular data available in two ESRC Biosocial Sweep datasets (1958 National Child Development Study, Understanding Society). The first aim is to establish the pervasiveness of gene-environmental correlation and interaction using whole-genome data and specific environmental
measures. The second aim is to construct sensitive prediction models of reading impairment risk by incorporating genetic and environmental predictors with potential moderating effects. Polygenic scores for educational attainment, reading ability and other cognitive and developmental traits will be used to develop these prediction models. This could lead to earlier identification of children at risk of reading difficulties and more successful intervention. The third aim is to quantify the effect of reading disadvantage (adjusting for general cognitive ability) in earlier life on later life socio-educational and health outcomes, including the indirect effects of genes and environmental factors. The fourth aim is to boost the power to identify novel genetic variants influencing reading skill by performing genome-wide analysis of reading ability in the ESCR datasets and meta-analysing the results with existing genome-wide studies of reading (including the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children). These results will feed back into improving the reliability of reading polygenic scores for use in future studies. Finally, Mendelian Randomization will be used to test for causal relations between reading ability and life outcomes. Findings from this study will be of international interest to researchers in psychology and biology, with special relevance to parents and educators.

Datasets

| Dr Caroline Brown | Human Geography, Environment and Urban Planning | Heriot Watt University |

The right to play – a comparison of rural and urban outdoor play opportunities, environments and experiences

The UN Convention on the Rights of the Child (UNCRC) sets out a series of universal children’s rights, including Article 31, known as the right to play. Play is central to children’s health and development, and outdoor play in particular offers a range of benefits including enjoyment, socialisation, physical activity, learning and skills acquisition. There are, however, growing concerns that children’s lives are becoming ever more dominated by indoor, screen-based, sedentary activities at the expense of participation in active, outdoor pursuits. At the same time, it is not yet clear how the different types of environments available to children across the urban-rural gradient impact on outdoor play. The project will explore and compare urban and rural children’s outdoor play participation, environments, and experience using a mixed methods approach. This will consist of: 1) secondary analysis of existing social survey data on outdoor play and outdoor activity participation in childhood using both cross-sectional and longitudinal analytical techniques; and 2) qualitative case studies using visual methods to explore young people’s outdoor play environments and experiences in urban and rural contexts. The student will benefit from access to an interdisciplinary supervisory team with considerable experience of supervising PhD students to timely completions and of supervision and research in this subject area. In addition, the inclusion of a nominated supervisor from the James Hutton Institute will offer the student insight into policy-focused academic research outside the university setting and direct experience of the science-policy interface, including opportunities for knowledge exchange with policymakers and practitioners working on promoting outdoor access.

| Dr Mirko Moro | Economics | University of Stirling |

Investigating the impact of public interventions to reduce drinking water lead contamination on infant health in Scotland

Labour and health economists appreciate that positive birth outcomes (such as higher birth weight) and infant health are strong predictors of successful academic and labour outcomes later in life. Lead is a very toxic element that can have adverse consequences on babies and children’s health, even at low concentrations. There are different sources of lead exposure. Tap water and leaded-petrol were two of the most common in the UK until mid 1990s. Lead service pipes were widely used around the world to connect homes to street water mains. Lead is dissolved from the interior of the pipe and ingested when drinking from the tap. We propose to examine how specific interventions to reduce exposure to tap water lead in Scotland influenced pregnancy outcomes (e.g., live births, birth weight, stillbirths) and infant mortality. The approach to be taken combines historical and administrative health data with modern statistical techniques. The analysis we propose will study two water treatment programmes that successfully reduced lead content in tap water in Glasgow in 1978 and 1989. The aim is to develop causal estimates of lead removal on the universe of births and infant deaths in Glasgow by employing difference-in-differences and regression discontinuity design. Babies and young children worldwide are still routinely exposed to potentially hazardous levels of lead today, from multiple sources, both in developed (see Flint, Michigan, USA) and developing countries. Being able to identify the health effects of exposure to lead is thus very
Volunteering participation is bimodal in age, peaking both in the early 20s and in the years around retirement. Increases in life expectancies, leading to an ageing population in the UK, might be expected to be associated with increasing voluntary participation in retirement. However, changing retirement ages, more flexibility in retirement, policies to encourage longer working lives, and family caring responsibilities are increasing the competing pressures on the time of potential volunteers in older age. At the same time, overall volunteering participation rates have been stable or falling despite significant policy and sector effort to encourage increased participation.

Volunteering in older age is more concentrated in social care activities and organisations (Price, 2007). Motivations for volunteering also differ by age: older volunteers are more likely to be motivated by altruistic or social motivations, and less by material or investment concerns (Fischer and Schaffer, 1993; IVR, 2007). While volunteering now plays a critical role in public service provision through a range of voluntary organisations, there are also significant benefits to older people themselves from voluntary participation. Several studies have shown that volunteering in older age is associated with reduced mortality and improved quality of life (Lum & Lightfoot, 2005; Piliavin & Siegl, 2007).

The most useful data for understanding patterns of volunteering is longitudinal because participation is a dynamic process: individuals transition into and out of volunteering; employment; and caring responsibilities. While volunteering participation at the population level is relatively steady, analysis of longitudinal data from the British Household Panel Study (BHPS) shows that there is significant turnover. Nearly 85% of adults volunteered at least once in a ten year period, with most starting and stopping at different points in their lives (Kamerade, 2014). Indeed, transitions in volunteering are particularly likely in older ages. For instance, retirement and other employment and family transitions associated with ageing might encourage new volunteering activities, but older age is also a time when participation might cease, particularly due to deteriorating health or challenges with mobility.

This PhD project will use longitudinal data drawn from the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing to explore the life events which predict changes in volunteering participation in older age. In particular, the interaction of retirement decisions and participation decisions will be explored, comparing how variations are related to different social circumstances such as occupations. The longitudinal data will allow us to examine whether falling voluntary participation is explained by changes in overall participation levels, or by displacement of volunteering participation to later life due to changes in patterns of retirement and caring.

Young people’s lived experiences of welfare conditionality over time

This PhD is designed to re-analyse the ‘Welfare Conditionality’ Qualitative Longitudinal Research data set to establish original knowledge about how conditionality impacts on young people's lived experiences of claiming benefits and looking for work in Scotland and England. The candidate will learn and apply cutting-edge, advanced large-scale qualitative data analysis techniques, including QSR NVivo framework matrix analysis. Welfare conditionality has been at the heart of a fundamental and controversial transformation of the British welfare system. In contrast to traditional rights-based social security, conditionality aims to stimulate job entries by requiring intensive job-seeking behaviour, backed by one of the toughest sanctions regimes in the world, e.g., removing benefits for up to three years. The recent, on-going roll out of Universal Credit extends conditionality to in-work claimants, disabled people, carers, lone parents with pre-school children, and claimants’ partners. Young people aged 18-24 are disproportionately affected by these reforms because they are at twice the risk of unemployment (compared with those aged 25-64) and face the highest risks of benefit sanctions. Young people are multiply disadvantaged, with reduced social security entitlements than older citizens; lower earnings potential (lower national living wages); and confronted with barriers to establish housing and financial independence due to increasingly precarious work conditions and housing insecurity. Growing numbers of young people have become
economically marginalised within a context of growing precarity in an unequal labour market characterised by underemployment and in-work poverty, particularly for youth. However, little is currently known about how young people growing up in this context experience the policies that intensify conditionality and limit welfare support, which hold potentially harmful and long-lasting impacts. This doctoral project is designed to contribute new knowledge on how young people experience and are impacted by conditionality over time and whether conditionality is effective or ethical for them.

Interdisciplinary

Dr Jonathan Delafeld-Butt | Education | University of Strathclyde

Artificial Intelligence Approaches to Motor Assessment of Autism Spectrum Disorder: The motor contribution to early autism development

Children move with their own agency to create experiences they enjoy and learn. Self-generated movement is a hallmark of ‘sensorimotor intelligence’, enabling learning the consequences of one’s actions. It forms a bedrock of experience that expands in social collaboration to make sense of the world. We create stories in movement in what educational psychologist Jerome Bruner named ‘narrative cognition’. However, evidence demonstrates children with autism spectrum disorder exhibit a subtle, but significant disruption to self-generated movement, thwarting its success, creating distress and isolation, and consequent social and emotional autistic symptomology. This project will advance novel technology to assess this disruption and provide a possible new tool for the early detection of autism. This ESRC Interdisciplinary PhD will advance the state-of-the-art in child development and autism (Delafield-Butt) with precise human movement analysis (Rowe) to develop and deploy bespoke, lightweight wearable sensors (Andonovic) for the ecological characterization of the autism motor signature in very young children and infants. The project will explore, develop and deploy a new, ecological serious game paradigm employing bespoke feather-weight wearables suitable for very young children. Data will be collected from children at-risk for autism, and typically developing, as well as through a whole-population birth cohort study in Madeira, with whom the project team is collaborating. We will produce new technological innovation to address gaps in theory in the aetiology of autism and satisfy practical need in early years education and care to identify children with autism spectrum disorder before current methods allow. These data will inform our scientific and professional understanding of the role of the motor disruption in autism and provide a possible new target for treatment.

Professor Emily Cross | Psychology | University of Glasgow

Developing a Framework for Emotion Expression via Motion in Humanlike Artificial Agents

The overarching aim of this PhD project is to develop a library of naturalistic emotional movements generated by expert dancers, and then implement and test the communicative value of these movements in artificial agents in naturalistic social settings. This studentship is richly interdisciplinary in nature, drawing from the social sciences, performing arts and engineering to tackle a major challenge that falls under the remit of the RCUK Digital Economy theme: namely, to improve artificial agents’ social acceptance and usability by providing them with emotionally expressive behaviours that are instantly readable by human interaction partners. This project comprises three main studies, with the first two primarily involving social sciences research (with performing arts elements as well), and the third study combining knowledge generated from the social sciences and performing arts with computing science. For the first third of the project, the student will work closely with the Scottish National Ballet and motion tracking technology to create and validate a rich library of emotions expressed via bodily movement. Next, the student will develop expertise with quantitative and qualitative behavioural methods (including eye tracking, self-report measures of affective valence), as well as working with different participant samples (expert and naïve dancers) to further identify how emotion is expressed via bodily movements, and which elements of a body in motion convey the most meaningful information about a mover’s emotion. The final third of the project applies insights gained from the first two parts to the computing science and robotics world, by implementing insights gained into the movements and behaviour of physically present robots and virtual
representations of avatars. Together, the project provides an ideal and exciting opportunity to train a PhD student who is equipped with the theoretical and technical skills to work between the social sciences, arts, and technology.

**Professor Ben Tatler**  
Psychology  
University of Aberdeen

**Comics vs. COVID: Informing and evaluating the design of public health information comics**

Engaging people of all ages and abilities effectively with often complex public health information has never been more important than it is now. Comics can convey complex, nuanced information in ways that engage people of all ages and literacy levels and have been used successfully to promote public health campaigns, improving engagement, knowledge and attitudes to health topics. Comics have been used to convey a wide range of public health messages during the COVID-19 pandemic. This interdisciplinary project will determine how readers engage with public health information comics and how this links to changes in the readers’ knowledge and attitudes. Specifically, we will consider how engagement with, understanding of and attitudes toward public health messages depend on (1) design choices when creating public health comics, (2) the target audience – including vulnerable groups with low health-literacy – and (3) the health topic covered. The project combines Tatler’s expertise on eye tracking and visual perception with Murray’s expertise on comics studies – including producing public health comics – and benefits from a successful history of interdisciplinary collaboration between the two supervisors. By recording eye movements, we will measure the moment-to-moment visual sampling of comics. Linking eye movement data to questionnaire data collected before and after reading will allow us to identify objective markers of visual engagement that predict changes in knowledge and attitudes of the reader. The findings will reveal the mechanisms by which key information in comics is encoded into memory, providing theoretical advances in the Psychology of multi-modal perception and memory and informing data-driven theory development in Comics Studies. Moreover, the work will enable the development of protocols for objectively assessing the effectiveness of health comics in conveying their messages to the public and lead to recommendations for designing health comics that are optimised for the specific target audience and topic.

The combination of skills from humanities and social sciences offered by the supervisory team will lead to novel theoretical and empirical insights into the effectiveness of information comics. Furthermore, it will provide a means to empirically evaluate the impact of artistic design choices on the reader’s engagement with and memory for safety critical information. The findings will be of interest to scholars in humanities and social science, and stakeholders in the comics industry and public health, leading to new recommendations and tools for the design and evaluation of public healthcare comics.

**Dr Ailsa Niven**  
Health, Families, Relationships and Demographic Change  
University of Edinburgh

**A Realist evaluation of Paths for All’s Workplace Step Count Challenge**

Despite the established physical and mental health benefits of physical activity (PA), the prevalence of physical inactivity has been described as ‘pandemic’. In 2014, the Scottish Government launched a National Walking Strategy that identified increased walking as a key mechanism through which the population’s PA levels can improve. Paths for All are a key partner in delivering the walking strategy, and their annual 8-week Walk at Work Step Count Challenge (SCC) is a flagship activity. Building on previous collaborative work, the overall aim of this PhD is to work with Paths for All to undertake a realist evaluation of the SCC in order to understand for whom, under what conditions and how the programme is effective in changing PA behaviour.

In line with realist evaluation, the proposed project will address 3 objectives. Firstly, in order to develop a programme theory (Context-Mechanisms-Outcome) of how the SCC may lead to increased PA, the student will undertake a realist systematic review of existing literature on work-based interventions similar to SCC, and interview key stakeholders. Secondly, in order to test the programme theory, the student will undertake a longitudinal qualitative study with SCC participants to gain a nuanced understanding of their experience. Thirdly, the programme theory will be revised based on the findings of the longitudinal study and stakeholder input.

The supervisors have a strong mix of experience and skills relevant to the project. The student will join thriving research centres focused on PA and public health research (PAHRC and SCPHRP) and benefit from the world-class training and infrastructure facilities at the University of Edinburgh. The project team will work with Paths for All to produce useful
outputs that can inform practice, support funding applications, and influence policy. It is anticipated that the project will result in at least 3 high quality academic outputs.